الم است حديد .	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/826,023	MESSICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	José A Fortuna	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application	·
(1) <u>José A Fortuna</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Boots</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The examiner called applicants attorney to inquire for the statu the last office action. Mr. Boots replied that the case was aban	is of the application, since mo	
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)